Individual differences in dopamine support self-control of everyday desires

Jaime J. Castrellon¹, Jacob S. Young², Linh C. Dang², Christopher T. Smith², Ronald L. Cowan², David H. Zald², Gregory R. Samanez-Larkin¹ ¹Department of Psychology & Neuroscience, Duke University; ²Department of Psychology, Vanderbilt University

Introduction

Although laboratory experiments have demonstrated that dopamine impacts one's ability to inhibit impulsive actions, no study to date has shown whether such associations translate to inhibition in everyday life. Using an experience sampling method and positron emission tomography, we show that individual differences in dopamine impacts how conflict with personal goals impacts self-control in everyday life.

We used PET and EMA to examine the relation between dopamine and attempts to resist everyday desires.

Methods

Experience Sampling

N=74 (41 females), ages 18-80 (M = 38.7, SD = 17.9)

Participants reported top desires experienced in the last 3 hrs.

Desire 1 (1st desire of 3 possible desires)
Indicate desire (craving, urge, longing) experienced in the past 3 hours * must provide value Eating, snacking, nonalcoholic drinks Alcohol, cigarettes, tobacco, other drugs Entertainment media (TV, movies, web browsing, video games) Social media (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, etc.) Spending Sex Sleep Social Contact (in person or phone conversation, texting, FaceTime, etc.) Leisure and relaxation Exercise Work Other
NONE



PET Scanning [18F]fallypride, GE Discover STE PET Mean D2R receptor availability was derived for each participant from a priori ROIs in the ventral striatum, midbrain, and amygdala with partial-volume correction.

Analysis

EMA & D2R data were analyzed using mixed-effects logistic regression in R with the Imer4 package. We used random intercepts for participants and surveys. Exploratory voxelwise analyses were performed using FSL.







Surveys delivered via text messages 3 times per day for 10 days.



Above: (Left) Logistic regression of degree of conflict with personal goals on attempts to resist desires. (Right) Individual with fewer ventral striatum and midbrain D2Rs are less likely to attempt to resist desires in spite of conflict with personal goals.



Conclusion

Lower VS and midbrain but higher amygdala D2Rs The observed effects suggest predicted lower attempts to resist desires and greater that individual differences in failed attempts in spite of conflict with personal goals. mesolimbic dopamine shape how people weigh personal goals in their decisions to self-control their desires. The effects observed in the amygdala suggests a possible mechanism by which dopamine supports the impact of negative affect on self-control. NIH

This work was supported by the following grants: NIDA R21-DA033611 & NIA R01-AG044838

Above: Unthresholded exploratory voxelwise effect of individual differences in desire conflict slope on failed attempts to resist desires







Above: Unthresholded exploratory voxelwise effect of individual differences in desire conflict slope on attempts to resist desires.

Above: (Left) Logistic regression of degree of conflict with personal goals on failed attempts to resist desires. (Right) Individuals with higher amygdala D2Rs are more likely to fail in their attempts to resist desires in spite of conflict with personal goals.